# Pupil premium strategy statement 2021-2024

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium for the 2021 to 2022 academic year) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

#### **School overview**

| Detail  | Data                        |
|---|-----------------------------|
| School name   | Grosvenor Road Primary      |
| Number of pupils in school                          | 556                         |
| Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils     | 36%                         |
| Academic year/years that our current pupil premium  | 2021/2022 to                |
| strategy plan covers (3 year plans are recommended) | 2024/2025                   |
| Date this statement was published                   | December 2021               |
| Date on which it will be reviewed                   | July 2022                   |
|   | 1 <sup>st</sup> year review |
| Statement authorised by                             | Mr Pridden Headteacher      |
| Pupil premium lead                                  | Mrs Peacock                 |
|   | Deputy Headteacher          |
| Chair of Governors                                  | Mr Wilson                   |
|   | Chair of Governors          |

## **Funding overview**

| Detail   | Amount   |
|--|----------|
| Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year                                    | £209.818 |
| Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year                                 | £22,040  |
| Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable) | £0       |
| Total budget for this academic year  | £231,858 |

## Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

#### Statement of intent

Our intention is that all pupils, irrespective of their background or the challenges they face, make good progress and achieve high attainment across all subject areas. The focus of our pupil premium strategy is to support disadvantaged pupils to achieve that goal, including progress for those who are already high attainers.

We will consider the challenges faced by vulnerable pupils, such as those who have a social worker and young carers. The activity we have outlined in this statement is also intended to support their needs, regardless of whether they are disadvantaged or not.

High-quality teaching is at the heart of our approach, with a focus on areas in which disadvantaged pupils require the most support. This is proven to have the greatest impact on closing the disadvantage attainment gap and at the same time will benefit the non-disadvantaged pupils in our school. Implicit in the intended outcomes detailed below, is the intention that non-disadvantaged pupils' attainment will be sustained and improved alongside progress for their disadvantaged peers.

Our strategy is also integral to wider school plans for education recovery, notably in its targeted support through the National Tutoring Programme for pupils whose education has been worst affected, including non-disadvantaged pupils.

Our approach will be responsive to common challenges and individual needs, rooted in robust diagnostic assessment, not assumptions about the impact of disadvantage. The approaches we have adopted complement each other to help pupils excel. To ensure they are effective we will:

- ensure disadvantaged pupils are challenged in the work that they're set
- act early to intervene at the point need is identified
- adopt a whole school approach in which all staff take responsibility for disadvantaged pupils' outcomes and raise expectations of what they can achieve

### **Challenges**

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

| Challenge number   | Detail of challenge |  |
|--|---------------------|--|
| Assessments, observations, and discussions with pupils indicate und developed oral language skills and vocabulary gaps among many dis vantaged pupils. These are evident from Reception through to KS2 a |                     |  |

|   | general, are more prevalent among our disadvantaged pupils than their peers.  |
|---|---|
| 2 | Low attainment on entry to the Early Years Foundation Stage in all areas  |
| 3 | Assessments, observations, and discussions with pupils suggest disadvantaged pupils generally have greater difficulties with phonics than their peers. This negatively impacts their development as readers.  |
| 4 | Weak Language and Communication skills Assessments, observations, and discussions with pupils indicate underdeveloped oral language skills and vocabulary gaps among many disadvantaged pupils. These are evident from Nursery through to KS2 and in general, are more prevalent among our disadvantaged pupils than their peers. |
| 5 | Our assessments and observations indicate that the education and well-<br>being of many of our disadvantaged pupils have been impacted by partial<br>school closures to a greater extent than for other pupils. These findings<br>are supported by national studies.  |
|   | This has resulted in significant knowledge gaps leading to pupils falling further behind age-related expectations, especially in maths.   |
| 6 | Our Boxhall assessments, observations and discussions with pupils and families have identified social and emotional issues for many pupils, notably due to bullying, and a lack of enrichment opportunities during school closure. These challenges particularly affect disadvantaged pupils, including their attainment.         |
|   | Teacher referrals for support have markedly increased during the pandemic. 40 pupils (22 of whom are disadvantaged) currently require additional support with social and emotional needs, with 33 (17 of whom are disadvantaged) receiving small group interventions.   |
| 7 | Our attendance data over the last 2 years indicates that attendance among disadvantaged pupils has been lower than for non-disadvantaged pupils.  |
|   | 56.2% of disadvantaged pupils have been 'persistently absent' compared to 43.8% of their peers during that period. Our assessments and observations indicate that absenteeism is negatively impacting disadvantaged pupils' progress.   |

#### **Intended outcomes**

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

| Intended outcome   | Success criteria  |
|--|---|
| Improved oral language skills and vocabulary among disadvantaged | Assessments and observations indicate significantly improved oral language among disadvantaged pupils. This is evident when triangulated with other sources of evidence, including engagement in lessons, book scrutiny and ongoing |

| pupils.  | formative assessment.   |
|--|---|
| Improved reading attainment among disadvantaged pupils.  | KS2 reading outcomes in 2024/25 show that more disadvantaged pupils met the expected standard.  |
| Improved maths attainment for disadvantaged pupils at the end of KS2.  | KS2 maths outcomes in 2024/25 show that more disadvantaged pupils met the expected standard.  |
| To achieve and sustain improved wellbeing for all pupils in our school, particularly our disadvantaged pupils. | Sustained high levels of wellbeing from 2024/25 demonstrated by:  • qualitative data from student voice, student and parent surveys and teacher observations  • a significant increase in participation in enrichment activities, particularly among disadvantaged pupils   |
| To achieve and sustain improved attendance for all pupils, particularly our disadvantaged pupils.              | <ul> <li>Sustained high attendance from 2024/25 demonstrated by:</li> <li>the overall absence rate for all pupils being no more than 4%, and the attendance gap between disadvantaged pupils and their non-disadvantaged peers being reduced by 3%.</li> <li>the percentage of all pupils who are persistently absent being below 11% and the figure among disadvantaged pupils being no more than 13% lower than their peers.</li> </ul> |

# Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) this academic year to address the challenges listed above.

### **Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)**

Budgeted cost: £127,800

| Activity   | Evidence that supports this approach  | Challenge<br>number(s)<br>addressed |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| Purchase of standardised diagnostic assessments.  Training for staff to ensure assessments are interpreted and administered correctly.   | Standardised tests can provide reliable insights into the specific strengths and weaknesses of each pupil to help ensure they receive the correct additional support through interventions or teacher instruction:  Standardised tests   Assessing and Monitoring Pupil Progress   Education Endowment Foundation   EEF | 1, 2, 3, 4                          |
| Embedding dialogic activities across the school curriculum. These can support pupils to articulate key ideas, consolidate understanding and extend vocabulary.  We will purchase resources and fund on-going staff training (Elklan) and release time. | There is a strong evidence base that suggests oral language interventions, including dialogic activities such as high-quality classroom discussion, are inexpensive to implement with high impacts on reading:  Oral language interventions   Toolkit Strand   Education Endowment Foundation   EEF                     | 1                                   |
| Purchase of a DfE validated Systematic Synthetic Phonics programme to secure stronger phonics teaching for all pupils.   | Phonics approaches have a strong evidence base that indicates a positive impact on the accuracy of word reading (though not necessarily comprehension), particularly for disadvantaged pupils:  Phonics   Toolkit Strand   Education Endowment Foundation   EEF   | 2 3                                 |
| Enhancement of our maths teaching and curriculum planning in line with DfE and EEF guidance.  We will fund teacher release time to embed key elements of guidance in school and to access Maths Hub resources  | The DfE non-statutory guidance has been produced in conjunction with the National Centre for Excellence in the Teaching of Mathematics, drawing on evidence-based approaches:  Maths guidance KS1 and 2.pdf (publishing.service.gov.uk)  The EEF guidance is based on a range   | 3 5                                 |

| and CPD (including Teaching for Mastery training).  | of the best available evidence:  Improving Mathematics in Key Stages 2 and 3   |     |
|---|--|-----|
| Improve the quality of social and emotional (SEL) learning.  SEL approaches will be embedded into routine educational practices and supported by professional development and training for staff. | There is extensive evidence associating childhood social and emotional skills with improved outcomes at school and in later life (e.g., improved academic performance, attitudes, behaviour and relationships with peers):  EEF Social and Emotional Learning.p df(educationendowmentfoundation.org.u k) | 5 6 |

# Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £68,000

| Activity  | Evidence that supports this approach  | Challenge<br>number(s)<br>addressed |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|
| Buy into the Enhanced SALT SLA to improve listening, narrative and vocabulary skills for disadvantaged pupils who have relatively low spoken language skills.           | Oral language interventions can have a positive impact on pupils' language skills. Approaches that focus on speaking, listening and a combination of the two show positive impacts on attainment:  Oral language interventions   EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)  | 1, 4                                |
| Additional phonics sessions targeted at disadvantaged pupils who require further phonics support.   | Phonics approaches have a strong evidence base indicating a positive impact on pupils, particularly from disadvantaged backgrounds. Targeted phonics interventions have been shown to be more effective when delivered as regular sessions over a period up to 12 weeks:  Phonics   Toolkit Strand   Education Endowment Foundation   EEF | 2 3                                 |
| Engaging with the National Tutoring Programme to provide a blend of tuition, mentoring and school-led tutoring for pupils whose education has been most impacted by the | Tuition targeted at specific needs and knowledge gaps can be an effective method to support low attaining pupils or those falling behind, both one-to-one:  One to one tuition   EEF (educationendow-mentfoundation.org.uk)  And in small groups:   | 4                                   |

| pandemic. A significant   | Small group tuition   Toolkit Strand   Educa- |  |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| proportion of the pupils  | tion Endowment Foundation   EEF               |  |
| who receive tutoring will |   |  |
| be disadvantaged,         |   |  |
| including those who are   |   |  |
| high attainers.           |   |  |
|                           |   |  |

# Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £46,058

| Activity  | Evidence that supports this approach   | Challenge<br>number(s)<br>addressed |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| Whole staff training on behaviour management and anti-bullying approaches with the aim of developing our school ethos and improving behaviour across school.  | Both targeted interventions and universal approaches can have positive overall effects:  Behaviour interventions   EEF (educationendowmentfoundatio n.org.uk)                                  | 5                                   |
| Embedding principles of good practice set out in the DfE's Improving School Attendance advice.  This will involve training and release time for staff to develop and implement new procedures and appointing attendance/support officers to improve attendance. | The DfE guidance has been informed by engagement with schools that have significantly reduced levels of absence and persistent absence.  | 6 7                                 |
| Contingency fund for acute issues.  | Based on our experiences and those of similar schools to ours, we have identified a need to set a small amount of funding aside to respond quickly to needs that have not yet been identified. | All                                 |

Total budgeted cost: £241,858

# Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

### **Pupil premium strategy outcomes**

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2020 to 2021 academic year.

Our internal assessments during 2020/21 suggested that the performance of disadvantaged pupils was lower than in previous years in key areas of the curriculum. Despite being on track during the first year (2018/19), the outcomes we aimed to achieve in our previous strategy by the end of 2020/21 were therefore not fully realised.

Our assessment of the reasons for these outcomes points primarily to Covid-19 impact, which disrupted all our subject areas to varying degrees. As evidenced in schools across the country, school closure was most detrimental to our disadvantaged pupils, and they were not able to benefit from our pupil premium funded improvements to teaching and targeted interventions to the degree we had intended. The impact was mitigated by our resolution to maintain a high quality curriculum, including during periods of partial closure, which was aided by use of online resources.

Although overall attendance in 2020/21 was lower than in the preceding year at 92%, it was higher than the national average. At times when all pupils were expected to attend school, absence among disadvantaged pupils was 4% higher than their peers and persistent absence 16% higher. These gaps are larger than in previous years, which is why attendance is a focus of our current plan.

Our assessments and observations indicated that pupil behaviour, wellbeing and mental health were significantly impacted last year, primarily due to COVID-19-related issues. The impact was particularly acute for disadvantaged pupils. We used pupil premium funding to provide wellbeing support for all pupils, and targeted interventions where required. We are building on that approach with the activities detailed in this plan.

#### **Externally provided programmes**

| Programme                               | Provider                |
|---|-------------------------|
| Floppy's phonics                        | Oxford University Press |
| Oxford Owl Letters and sounds e-library | Oxford University Press |
| Zip Phonics                             | Reading Wise            |
| Reading wise Vocabulary                 | Reading Wise            |

| Spelling Shed        | Edu Shed                |
|----------------------|-------------------------|
| TT Rockstars         | Maths Circle            |
| RM Easimaths         | RM Education            |
| LBQ                  | Learning By Questions   |
| White Rose Maths     | White Rose              |
| Oak National Academy | Oak National Academy    |
| Oxford Owl e-library | Oxford University Press |